IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.25327 of 2004

Between:

B.Satyanarayana S/o.B.Narasimha
7-1-18,Bandimet, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Executive Officer, Godumakunta Grampanchayat
Kesara Mandal, R.R.Dist.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not allotting the house number and not assessing the property tax to the house of the petitioner constructed on Plot.No.28 admeasuring 260 Sq Yards, at S.No.5/A,161,179 situated at considering the representation kundanpally village within the limits of Godumakunta Grampanchayat, Medichal Taluq, Kesara Mandal R.R.Dist as illegal arbitrary highhanded and cannot be justified in the eye of law and consequently direct the respondent to issue house number and assess the property tax to the said house of the petitioner in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MS.M.SEETHA DEVI

Counsel for the Respondent: SMT.JYOTHI ESWAR GOGINENI

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioner claims to be owner and possessor of Plot No.28 admeasuring 260 sq. yards situated in S.Nos.5/A, 161 and 179 of Kunadanpally Village, Godumakunta Gram Panchayat, Medichal Taluk, Kesara Mandal, R.R. District. It is stated that as per the plan sanctioned by the respondent-Gram Panchayat, the petitioner has constructed a house in the said plot and after completion of said construction he made a representation to the respondent-Gram Panchayat for allotment of house number and assessment of house tax. The grievance of the petitioner is that as there was no response to his representation from the respondent, he got issued legal notices to the respondent on 12.10.2002 and on 03.02.2003, in vain. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the Respondent-Gram Panchayat. Both counsel agreed for disposal of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

Since the legal notices issued by the petitioner on 12.10.2002 and on 03.02.2003 are said to be pending consideration before the respondent-Gram Panchayat, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondent-Gram Panchayat to consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J)

Date: 18.01.2005

Nsr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Executive Officer, Godumakunta Grampanchayat
   Kesara Mandal, R.R.Dist.

2 Two CD copies.